#### Fonner Modified Forms for Assistive Technology Assessment & Implementation

The following forms and charts are used in the field in my practice of AT consulting and training. The concepts and original forms have been developed by other professionals in the field (primarily Joy Zabala, David Beukelman, Pat Mirenda, Gary Cumley, Donna Shaw & the Montgomery Co. Maryland AT team). These are the modifications that I've gathered or done to make them work in my situations. It's important to note: I rarely use all of these forms with any one student. This is not a packet to be completed; these forms help to consider, plan & organize the information that is a part of the AT process.

#### **Background Information:**

## The <u>SETT</u> Framework: Critical Areas to Consider When Making Informed Assistive Technology Decisions by Joy Smiley Zabala, M.Ed. (website: www.JoyZabala.com)

ALL students must have the opportunity to increase in competence, confidence, and independence to whatever degree is possible in whatever area is being studied. Further, each student must be expected to move toward these common underlying goals as well as the specific goals in <u>any</u> course of study through communication, participation, and productivity in activities which foster the achievement of identified goals. When students have disabilities, particularly in areas which affect communication - written, oral, or both - these goals can be hard to keep in mind and even harder to reach... especially without the right tools and supports!

To make effective assistive technology decisions, who should be involved in the decision-making process and what information should be included?...information about the Student, the Environment, the Tasks, and the Tools must be gathered and thoughtfully considered, revised, and acted upon by a multidisciplinary team with full participation from the person and his/her personal and professional supporters. To support this belief, the SETT Framework has been developed. The SETT Framework considers, first, the Student, the Environment(s) and the Tasks required for active participation in the activities of the environment, and, finally, the system of Tools needed for the student to address the tasks. It is important to realize that this outline of questions to consider in each area of the SETT Framework has been developed only as a guideline and a place to start. Teams gathering and acting upon this data may wish to seek answers to numerous additional questions. In virtually every case, however, any questions which arise will relate to one of the areas of the SETT Framework.

## The <u>Participation Model</u> by David R. Beukelman, Ph.D. & Pat Mirenda, Ph.D (book: AAC: Supporting Children & Adults with Complex Communication Needs, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition)

In their book, these authors address assessment and interventions for persons who are using or being considered for augmentative or alternative communication systems, both low tech and high tech. They have chapters on persons with acquired disabilities and for those with developmental disabilities. A portion of the book explains a participation model which guides the evaluator/facilitator. In this model, steps are taken to identify participation patterns and barriers, to assess opportunities and access skills in order to develop a plan for implementation. The forms included in this packet are a mere portion of those that are in the book.

NOTE: When using these forms, only use those that are pertinent to your student and situation.

#### THE SETT FRAMEWORK - PART I

#### Collaborative Consideration of Student Need for Assistive Technology Devices and Services

Using SETT appropriately requires collaboration and promotes team-building by using clearly understood language and valuing input from all perspectives. As data is organized and prioritized within the SETT Framework, it promotes logical thinking by all team members and can be an effective consensus-building tool. As environments and tasks are explored, the links between assessment and intervention become strong and clear, as does the need to develop a system of tools which will enhance the student's abilities to address the tasks in which he/she is expected to build competency.

EXAMINING CURRENT CONDITIONS TO CONSIDER EDUCATIONAL NEED									
STUDENT:	ENVIRONMENT:	TASKS:	TOOLS:						
<ul> <li>What does the Student need to do?</li> <li>What are the Student's special needs?</li> <li>What are the Student's current abilities?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>What materials and equipment are currently available in the environment?</li> <li>What is the physical arrangement? Are there special concerns?</li> <li>What is the instructional arrangement? Are there likely to be changes?</li> <li>What supports are available to the student?</li> <li>What resources are available to the people supporting the student?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>What naturally occurring activities take place in the environment?</li> <li>What is everyone else doing?</li> <li>What activities support the student's curricular goals?</li> <li>What are the critical elements of the activities?</li> <li>How might the activities be modified to accommodate the student's special needs</li> <li>How might technology support the student's active participation in those activities?</li> </ul>	What no tech, low tech, and high tech options should be considered when developing a system for a student with these needs and abilities doing these tasks in these environments?      What strategies might be used to invite increased student performance?      How might these tools be tried out with the student in the customary environments in which they will be used?						

@ Joy Zabala, 2001. PERMISSION GRANTED TO USE IF CREDITS ARE RETAINED. Please provide feedback on effectiveness and suggestions for modifications/revisions by email to joy@joyzabala.com

#### THE SETT FRAMEWORK - PART I

### Collaborative Consideration of Student Need for Assistive Technology Devices and Services

		<b>3</b> ,	
Student:	_Date:	Staff <u>:</u>	
Directions for this page: In the area of Student a	address: What is the fur	nctional area(s) of concern? Special needs, Current abilities;	
In the area of Environment address: Arrangeme	ent, Support. Materials a	and Equipment, Access Issues, Attitudes and Expectations;	
In the area of Tasks address: What specific task	ks are required for activ	ve involvement in identified environments?	
Circle areas which present barriers to student pr	rogress		

	STUDENT:	ENVIRONMENT:	TASKS:	TOOLS:
	What are the student's strengths and	Classes and situations	What are the tasks that the	What AT or services will
	needs?	where help is needed?	student needs to be able to	address these tasks?
			accomplish to meet IEP goals?	
>				
8				
ᅙ				
e e				
<b>+</b>				
What we know				
≥				
<b>&gt;</b>				
2				
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
<u> </u>				
e c				
ne				
What we need to know				
# >				
,ha				
≥				

Modified by Montgomery County, MD Public Schools Assistive Technology Team http://www.mcps.k12.md.us/departments/interact/

@ Joy Zabala, 2001. PERMISSION GRANTED TO USE IF CREDITS ARE RETAINED.
Please provide feedback on effectiveness and suggestions for modifications/revisions by email to joy@joyzabala.com

#### THE PARTICIPATION MODEL

## Addition to SETT Forms; Modified from the work of David Beukelman & Pat Mirenda

Student:	Date:	Staff:		
		each content/activity area througho		
		s as a group, priorities for each co		
		er, finding a focus is important for s		
		ach item, circle the first priority;& it		
Content Area:	Educational	Integration (Physical	Social Participation	Independence with
	Participation Level	Participation Level	Level	Technology Level
	☐ Competitive	□Full	☐ Influential	☐ Complete
	☐ Active	☐ Selective	☐ Active	☐ With Set Up
	☐ Involved	□None	☐ Involved	☐ Fully Assisted
	☐ None		☐ None	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Content Area:	Educational	Integration (Physical	Social Participation	Independence with
	Participation Level	Participation Level	Level	Technology Level
	☐ Competitive	□Full	☐ Influential	☐ Complete
	☐ Active	☐ Selective	☐ Active	☐ With Set Up
	☐ Involved	□None	☐ Involved	☐ Fully Assisted
	☐ None		☐ None	•
Content Area:	Educational	Integration (Physical	Social Participation	Independence with
	Participation Level	Participation Level	Level	Technology Level
	☐ Competitive	□Full	☐ Influential	☐ Complete
	☐ Active	☐ Selective	☐ Active	☐ With Set Up
	☐ Involved	□None	☐ Involved	☐ Fully Assisted
	☐ None		☐ None	
		<b>-</b>		1
Content Area:	Educational	Integration (Physical	Social Participation	Independence with
	Participation Level	Participation Level	Level	Technology Level
	☐ Competitive	□Full	☐ Influential	☐ Complete
	☐ Active	☐ Selective	☐ Active	☐ With Set Up
	□ Involved	□None	□ Involved	☐ Fully Assisted
	□ None		□ None	_ :, /

Copy as many pages as needed for the number of content areas for this student

### THE PARTICIPATION MODEL: Barriers/Opportunities to Technology Integration

Addition to SETT Forms; Modified from the work of David Beukelman & Pat Mirenda

Student:\_\_\_\_\_\_Date:\_\_\_\_Staff:\_\_\_\_\_

Directions for this page: Often the discussion around issues helps teams to focus on opportunities that may arise from barriers or to discover that what is impeding the use of assistive technologies has little to do with the technology itself.

Opportunity Barriers (District, School, Staff and Student)

POLICY

RNOWLEDGE

SKILL

SKILL

#### **Access Barriers (Student specific)**

What is the potential to increase the consumer's natural abilities?

What is the potential for environmental adaptations to occur?

What is the potential of the consumer to utilize AT tools?

- -Operational Requirements
- -Constraints
- -Capabilities

#### THE SETT FRAMEWORK - PART II - A

# Describing an Assistive Technology Tool System to Address Identified Student Needs Brainstorming Possible Tools that Match the Description

Student:			eed (See SE					
Directions for this page: It								
1) Intended 1 <sup>st</sup> use –								
considered in the i								
2) Possible 2 <sup>nd</sup> use –								
each feature is evi			o triat are son	ig inaloa, ao il	io tridio progre	oo, rato odorr	nom do to the	iovorto winori
	,	,						
Enter one description of important function								
in each column >								
Enter one possible tool								
in each row V								

@ Joy Zabala, 2001. PERMISSION GRANTED TO USE IF CREDITS ARE RETAINED. Please provide feedback on effectiveness and suggestions for modifications/revisions by email to joy@joyzabala.com

#### THE SETT FRAMEWORK - PART II - B

## Establishing Availability and Training Needs for Promising Tools Area of established need (See SETT:Part I):

Student:	Area of established need	(See SETT:Part I):		
Directions: Once tools have been chose	- n as trials, teams need to plan for	the acquisition of trial $$	units, software, etc. Also planni	ng needs to occur for
training on how to use a new item during	g its trial period. Use this form to n	nake a listing of where	products are coming from and t	the training required.
KEY: S= Systemically available tools - A	vailable to ALL students served b	y this system		
P= Programatically available through sp	ecial education services or other s	services for which this s	student is eliaible	

A= Additional tools which may be required by this student if consideration or assessment data establishes need

SHORT LIST OF TOOLS	TOOL AVAILABILITY			SERVICES REQUIRED FOR FFECTIVE USE			
JUSTIFY CHOICES WITH SETT DATA AND FEATURE MATCH	S	Р	Α	STUDENT	STAFF	FAMILY	

@ Joy Zabala, 2001. PERMISSION GRANTED TO USE IF CREDITS ARE RETAINED.
Please provide feedback on effectiveness and suggestions for modifications/revisions by email to joy@joyzabala.com

### **ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY TRIAL PERIOD PLAN**

<del>_</del>		lic Schools As	ssistive Technology Team http://www.mo	cps.k12.md.us/departments/interact/
should begin with 1)in what environm	ent will the product(s	) be trialed; 2)	_Staff: sumer's use of the product needs to be how long will the trial last; 3)how will the rial data should occur before the trials ha	effectiveness of the product be measured
Targeted IEP Goal/Area:				
AT on trial to accomplish	Environments	AT Trial	Indicators of	Effectiveness
the above goal	for	Period	Effectiveness	(To be completed upon completion of
	the AT trial		(Rate, duration, accuracy, quality, etc. as determined by team prior to trial)	trial period by designated staff.) How did performance change? Attach work samples or pre-trial and post-trial data sheets.
		Date to		
		begin:		
		date:		

Summary and further recommendations:

### **DATA COLLECTION DURING TRIAL/LOANERS**

**Addition to SETT Framework forms (Fonner)** 

Student:	Date:	Staff <u>:</u>
Activity/Task for Data Collection:		How often will this data be collected?
Data points developed by:		
Directions for this page: Determine the tas	k in which all trial tool	s will be used. What are the measureables and/or countable occurrences within the tas
What are the types of ratings of the studer	nt's performance with	the trial tools? Remember to return to SETT Framework Part II to rate the devices upor
predetermined features.		

DATE	TOOL	DATA COLLECTION								
		Rating Scale	Rating Scale	Count	Count	Did		Did _		
		1-5	1-5	#	#	YES	NO	YES	NO	

#### **ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES MATRIX**

Addition to the SETT Forms: From the work of Gary Cumley (in the book by Beukelman & Mirenda)

The purpose of this matrix is to identify all of the items of responsibility around a student who needs assistance with communication. These responsibilities should be distributed throughout the team. Team members should speak for themselves, not be assigned by others. No one person should hold all Assignments and no one should have no assignments or back-ups.

Student:	Date:	Activity/Task for Data Collection:
Directions to this page:	_	
(1) In the left column, make a list of the items t	hat need to be done for this s	student.

- (2) Across the top, make a list of the team members including the student.
- (3) For each item, agree upon a team member who is (A) Assigned Responsibility and one who is (B) Back-up Responsibility.

		ROLES								
RESPONSIBILITIES	Student	Parent	Special Ed Teacher	Regular Ed Teacher	SLP	ОТ	PT	AT staff	Adminis trator	Other
1.										
2.										
3.										
4.										
5.										
6.										
7.										
8.										
9.										
10.										

### **PLANNING FOR A.T. IN SCHOOL TASKS**

## Addition to SETT Framework forms – modified from Donna Shaw, Franklin Schools, WI

Student:		Date	<b>)</b> :		Staff:	
are things such as listening to the teacher le	list of ecture	the ty e, con	rpe oi npleti	ng a	demic and social class activities that occur duworksheet, writing a journal, homework, etc. by that can be utilized. Next, list the instruction	For each activity, list the instructional
Content Area	Grouping				Accommodations & Adaptations	Technology Tool to Use
Activity (examples: teacher lecture, worksheet, reading, writing, manipulatives, etc.)	Large Group	Small Group	Pair	Individual	(Time, Quantity, Personal Assistance)	(examples: word processor, text reader, calculator, page turner, electronic outliner, AAC, white board, visual schedule, switch, etc.)
Content Area	Grouping				Accommodations & Adaptations	Technology Tool to Use
Activity (examples: teacher lecture, worksheet, reading, writing, manipulatives, etc.)	Large Group	Small Group	Pair	Individual	(Time, Quantity, Personal Assistance)	(examples: word processor, text reader, calculator, page turner, electronic outliner, AAC, white board, visual schedule, switch, etc.)
Content Area Grouping					Accommodations & Adaptations	Tochnology Tool to Uso
Activity (examples: teacher lecture, worksheet, reading, writing, manipulatives, etc.)	Large G			Individual	Accommodations & Adaptations (Time, Quantity, Personal Assistance)	Technology Tool to Use  (examples: word processor, text reader, calculator, page turner, electronic outliner, AAC, white board, visual schedule, switch, etc.)

Copy as many pages as needed for the number of content areas for this student